

## Group Presentation Scoring Rubric: Psychological Assessment Presentation (50 Points)

Category	Excellent (9-10)	Good (7-8)	Satisfactory (5-6)	Needs Improvement (0-4)	Points
<b>Content: Test Developers and History (10 points)</b>	Thoroughly describes the test developers and provides a detailed, accurate history of the test's development, including key milestones and context.	Adequately describes the test developers and history, with minor omissions or less depth in context.	Provides basic information on developers and history, but lacks detail, clarity, or accuracy in some areas.	Incomplete or inaccurate information on developers and history; minimal effort shown.	___/10
<b>Content: Test Use, Theoretical Foundation, and Structure (10 points)</b>	Clearly and comprehensively explains the test's use, theoretical foundation, and structure, with strong connections to psychological principles.	Explains the test's use, theory, and structure well, but with minor gaps or less clarity in connections to theory.	Describes the test's use, theory, and structure, but with limited depth, vague connections, or minor inaccuracies.	Incomplete, unclear, or incorrect description of the test's use, theory, or structure.	___/10
<b>Content: Reliability and Validity Evidence (10 points)</b>	Provides a detailed, accurate summary of the most recent reliability and validity evidence, with clear explanations of findings and their implications.	Summarizes reliability and validity evidence adequately, but with minor omissions or less clarity in implications.	Mentions reliability and validity evidence, but lacks detail, clarity, or full accuracy.	Minimal or incorrect information on reliability and validity; fails to address the most recent version.	___/10

<b>Presentation Delivery and Organization (10 points)</b>	Presentation is well-organized, engaging, and delivered confidently within 15 minutes. Visual aids (if used) are professional and enhance understanding. All team members contribute equally.	Presentation is organized and delivered clearly, with minor issues in pacing or engagement. Visual aids are helpful but may have slight flaws. Team contributions are mostly balanced.	Presentation is somewhat disorganized or uneven in delivery, with noticeable issues in pacing or clarity. Visual aids are basic or distracting. Uneven team contributions.	Presentation is poorly organized, rushed, or difficult to follow. Visual aids are absent or ineffective. Significant imbalance in team contributions.	___/10
<b>Teamwork and Collaboration (10 points)</b>	Demonstrates strong teamwork, with seamless collaboration evident in preparation and delivery. Responses to questions (if applicable) show group cohesion.	Shows good teamwork, with minor lapses in coordination or collaboration. Responses to questions are mostly cohesive.	Teamwork is evident but inconsistent, with noticeable unevenness in preparation or delivery. Responses to questions lack full cohesion.	Poor teamwork, with clear lack of collaboration or coordination. Responses to questions are disjointed or absent.	___/10